

Amendment in Response to Non-Final Office Action
I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4).

Dated: June 12, 2009

Electronic Signature for Kristin L. Murphy: /Kristin L. Murphy/

Docket No.: 66967-0008
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Heiko Harnischfeger

Application No.: 10/568,800

Confirmation No.: 6368

Filed: December 21, 2006

Art Unit: 3679

For: TWIN BALL JOINT WITH IMPROVED
BALL CAGE

Examiner: G. J. Binda

SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT IN RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Madam:

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

This Supplemental Response supplements, and replaces, the response filed on June 9, 2009, in response to the Office Action dated March 9, 2009. It is respectfully requested that this Supplemental Response be considered and entered. Inasmuch as the Examiner is not believed to have yet considered Applicants' paper dated June 9, 2009, it is not believed that consideration of this Supplemental Response will result in any delays or inefficiencies in prosecution. Please amend the above-identified U.S. patent application as follows:

Amendments to the Specification begin on page 3 of this paper.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 5 of this paper.

Amendments to the Drawings begin on page 7 of this paper and include an attached replacement sheet.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 8 of this paper.

An **Appendix** including amended drawing figures is attached following page 13 of this paper.